arXiv:1211.1317v2 [hep-th] 18 Jan 2013

Majorana mass, time reversal symmetry, and the dimension of space

Igor F. Herbut
Department of Physics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada V5A 1S6
Max-Planck-Institut für Physik Komplexer Systeme, Nöthnitzer Str. 38, 01187 Dresden, Germany

The Weyl fermions with a well defined chirality are known to demand that the dimension of space which they inhabit must be odd. It is shown here, however, that not all odd dimensional spaces are equally good hosts: in particular, an arbitrary number of chiral Weyl fermions can acquire a Majorana mass only in three (modulo eight) dimensions. The argument utilizes a) the precise analogy that exists between the Majorana mass term and the Cooper pairing of time-reversed Weyl fermions, and b) the conditions on the requisite time-reversal operator, which are implied by the Clifford algebra. The theorem connects the observed odd number of neutrino flavors, the time reversal symmetry, and the dimension of our space, and strengthens the argument for the possible violation of the lepton number conservation law.

Maybe the most obvious fact about our world is that it is three dimensional.[1] Yet, neither classical nor quantum physics provide a very good reason why this needs to be so. On the contrary, modern approaches to the physics beyond the standard model based on the string theory, for example, typically require higher number of dimensions d for internal consistency, and then their subsequent compactification down to $d = 3$. The significance of three dimensions poses therefore a clear and important problem.[2]

Here we point out a connection between the dimensionality of space and its ability to accommodate the Majorana mass for an arbitrary, and most importantly, an *odd* number of flavors of Weyl fermions. The connection is surprising in that it crucially involves the operation of time-reversal, which is a symmetry that *a priori* has little to do with any spatial characteristics, such as the dimension. We first show that the standard Majorana mass term in the Lagrangian for the Weyl fermions is nothing but the Cooper pairing [3] between the time-reversed states of the Weyl Hamiltonian. Focusing on odd spatial dimensions in which the notion of chirality is well-defined, we find that the time-reversal (TR) operator T, requisite for the construction of the Majorana mass, exists only in some of them: in $d = 3 + 4n$, $n = 0, 1, 2....$ The reason for the absence of the TR operator for the Weyl Hamiltonian in every second odd dimension is related to its antilinearity. Furthermore, the square of the TR operator, when it exists, depends on the dimensions as $T^2 = (-1)^{(d+1)/4}$. This has an important consequence for the mixing matrix between different flavors of Weyl fermions: the mixing matrix is *symmetric* only for even integer n in the above expression, whereas it is *antisymmetric* for odd n. The latter condition in general implies double degeneracy of all finite eigenvalues, so that the number of massive Weyl fermions in this case, when n is odd, can ultimately only be even. When the number of Weyl flavors is itself odd, this means that there is an odd number of Weyl fermions still being left massless. For three flavors in seven dimensions, for instance, this is illustrated further by a simple and direct calculation.

The conclusion is that in order to have an arbitrary number of Weyl fermions with unconstrained values of Majorana masses, the dimension of space has to be three, modulo eight. The ambiguity of eight in the result is a manifestation of the Bott periodicity in the theory of Clifford algebras,[4] upon which our proof ultimately relies. Some speculations about how to remove it will be offered.

The standard model of elementary particles famously contains three families of leptons, including the three neutrinos, which may be assumed to be chiral Weyl fermions. [5] They are now believed to be endowed with small but finite masses. Whether the masses are of the usual Dirac type, or with the Majorana component is at present unknown, but the experiments sensitive to the character of the neutrino mass are on their way.[6] The observation of the neutrinoless double beta decay, for example, which would imply a violation of the lepton number conservation which follows from the Majorana nature of the neutrino mass, would according to the theorem proved in this paper, if not quite explain, then to a certain degree rationalize the observed dimensionality of our space. The full explanation of the dimensionality along the direction taken here would demand an understanding of why the Majorana mass of the three neutrinos could be required for, as opposed to only being allowed in, our universe.[7]

Weyl Hamiltonian – We begin by establishing the basic terminology. Define the Weyl Hamiltonian as an irreducible, translational, and rotational invariant Hermitian operator, linear in momentum. The last requirement and the translational invariance together imply that

$$H_W = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \alpha_i p_i, \tag{1}$$

where p_i are the components of the momentum operator in d-dimensional space, and α_i are "coefficients" that commute with the momenta p_i and the coordinates x_i. The rotational symmetry requires that both p_i and α_i transforms as components of vectors. It thus suffices[8] that α_i obey the Clifford algebra $C(d, 0)$: [9]

$$\{\alpha_i, \alpha_j\} = 2\delta_{ij}, \tag{2}$$

so that the generators of rotations can be constructed as the sum of the orbital angular momentum operator and the generators of spinor representation of the rotational group ($Spin(d)$) [10]

$$L_{ij} = p_i x_j - x_i p_j + \frac{i}{4}[\alpha_i, \alpha_j]. \qquad (3)$$

The "coefficients" α_i are therefore promoted by the rotational invariance into operators, and the Weyl Hamiltonian H_W in fact acts in the Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{orb} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{sp}, \qquad (4)$$

where the coordinate and the momentum act in the first, orbital factor, and the operators α_i in the second, spin space. The irreducibility of H_W immediately implies that the representation of the Clifford algebra, and consequently of the $Spin(d)$, is $2^{(d-1)/2}$ dimensional, and that d is *odd.* Instead of the irreducibility, one could have demanded that an operator β which would anticommute with all α_i does not exist. This would guarantee that an addition of the Dirac mass term $\sim \beta$ to H_W is impossible, or, in other words, that the masslessness of the Weyl particle is not accidental. Viewed either way, there are two inequivalent *irreducible* complex (and Hermitian) representations of $C(d,0)$ for odd d, which correspond to two possible chiralities of the Weyl Hamiltonian. Choosing the chirality causes then the Weyl Hamiltonian to break the symmetry of space inversion, as well known.

Majorana mass – Having defined the fundamental Weyl Hamiltonian, we next deconstruct the usual Majorana mass as the s-wave Cooper pairing term.[3] It will be crucial that the Weyl particles are *fermions*, so consider the Lagrangian density for a *single* massless Weyl fermion:

$$L_0 = \Psi^\dagger (i\partial_t + H_W)\Psi, \qquad (5)$$

where $\Psi = \Psi(\vec{x}, t)$ and $\Psi^\dagger = \Psi^\dagger(\vec{x}, t) = (\Psi^*)^T$ are independent $2^{(d-1)/2}$-component Grassmann (anticommuting) fields. To cast the Lagrangian into the form that would facilitate a natural addition of the mass term, we rewrite it using Nambu's particle-hole doubling[3] as

$$L_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\Psi^\dagger, \tilde{\Psi}^\dagger)(i\partial_t + \sigma_3 \otimes H_W)(\Psi^\dagger, \tilde{\Psi}^\dagger)^\dagger, \qquad (6)$$

with $\tilde{\Psi} = U\Psi^*$, with the unitary matrix U satisfying $UH_W^* U^{-1} = H_W$. Summing the two decoupled blocks in the last equation recovers precisely the Eq. (5). But, this construction provides the *unique* way to invert the sign of the Weyl Hamiltonian for the lower ("hole") block in L_0, which, as will be seen, is necessary for the construction of the mass term for a single Weyl fermion. We now can recognize the combination $T_{sp} = UK$, with K as the complex conjugation, as the spin part in the TR operator T for the Weyl particle:

$$T = T_{orb} \otimes T_{sp}, \qquad (7)$$

where T_{orb} is the usual TR operator in the orbital space.[11] The Majorana mass is then simply

$$L_M = \frac{1}{2}(\Psi^\dagger, \tilde{\Psi}^\dagger)((m_1\sigma_1 + m_2\sigma_2) \otimes 1)(\Psi^\dagger, \tilde{\Psi}^\dagger)^\dagger, \qquad (8)$$

and the massive Weyl particle is described by the Lagrangian $L = L_0 + L_M$. The mass term breaks the global $U(1)$ particle number symmetry generated by $\sigma_3 \otimes 1$, and implies the energy spectrum $\pm\sqrt{k^2 + |m|^2}$, where $m = m_1 + im_2$.

We will not be concerned here with the dynamical mechanism of the generation of the Majorana mass, which inevitably will be model dependent. Rather, we will focus on the structure of the Majorana mass itself. Evidently, the Majorana mass term is proportional to

$$\Psi^\dagger U \Psi^* + c.c. = -\Psi^\dagger U^T \Psi^* + c.c., \qquad (9)$$

with the minus sign on the right hand side reflecting the Grassmann nature of the fields. The mass term thus either vanishes, or the matrix U is antisymmetric, $U = -U^T$. Since U also must be unitary, it follows that

$$-1 = UU^* = T_{sp}^2 = T^2, \qquad (10)$$

where in the last equation we used the fact that $T_{orb}^2 = 1$, always.[11] Two conditions are thus implicit in the construction of the Majorana mass for a single Weyl fermion: a) the time-reversal operator for the Weyl Hamiltonian exists, and b) that the same time-reversal operator also has the usual negative sign when squared.

None of the above, of course, is new when $d = 3$. The Clifford algebra elements then are the Pauli matrices, $\alpha_i = \sigma_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, and the TR operator in the spin space is the familiar (and, up to a phase, unique) $T_{sp} = \sigma_2 K$, with the requisite value of the square: $T_{sp}^2 = \sigma_2\sigma_2^* = -1$. Writing the Lagrangian L_M in terms of the Nambu components recovers precisely the textbook form of the Majorana mass.[5] We demonstrate next that this construction is, surprisingly, possible only in every *fourth* odd dimension.[12]

Time-reversal in different dimensions – Before delving into the general proof, let us show that already in the next odd dimension of $d = 5$ the operator T_{sp} for the Weyl Hamiltonian simply *does not exist.* The irreducible representation of the Clifford algebra $C(5,0)$, modulo an overall sign and an unitary transformation, is unique, and may be chosen to be, for example, $\alpha_i = 1 \otimes \sigma_i$, $i = 1, 3$, $\alpha_2 = \sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_2$, $\alpha_4 = \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2$, $\alpha_5 = \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_2$, with α_i as real for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and as imaginary for $i = 4, 5$. If $T_{sp} = UK$ and the Weyl Hamiltonian is to be even under time-reversal, all α_i must be odd. The matrix U then needs to satisfy the conditions

$$\{U, \alpha_i\} = [U, \alpha_j] = 0, \qquad (11)$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3$, and $j = 4, 5$. But *the only* two linearly independent matrices that anticommute with the α_i, $i = 1, 2, 3$ are α_4 and α_5 themselves! Since these two mutually anticommute, obviously there is no linear combination of them which would commute with both. In stark contrast to $d = 3$, in $d = 5$ the single-flavor Weyl Hamiltonian breaks *both* the symmetries of space-inversion and of time-inversion.[13]

It is not too difficult to prove further that T_{sp} cannot be found whenever the dimension of space is $d = 4n+1$. The dimension of the irreducible representation of the relevant Clifford algebra $C(4n+1,0)$ is 2^{2n}, and it can be chosen so that $2n+1$ matrices are real, and the remaining $2n$ matrices are imaginary.[14] Let us choose α_i, $i = 1, ...2n+1$ as real, and with $i = 2n+2, ...4n+1$ imaginary. The set of all different products of the first $4n$ matrices is easily shown to be linearly independent, and together with the unit matrix to provide a basis in the space of 2^{2n}-dimensional matrices, which is 2^{4n}-dimensional. The unitary part U of the operator T_{sp}, would then have to commute with all imaginary α-matrices, and anticommute with all real α-matrices, in generalization of Eqs. (11). Let us then consider the real α_1 first. Half of the matrices in the above basis anticommute with it, whereas the other half commutes. The operator U, if it exist, would then be in the first set of 2^{4n-1} linearly independent matrices. Let us then consider all the matrices *in that first set* that also anticommute with the second real matrix α_2. Again, their number is a half of the original number, so we get a set of linearly independent candidates for U of the size of 2^{4n-2}. Each additional condition similarly halves the number of candidates, until we exhaust all but the very last imaginary matrix, α_{4n+1}. Since the number of satisfied conditions is at this stage $4n$, there is a *unique* matrix left by this construction which anticommutes with all the real α_i $(i = 1, ...2n+1)$ and commutes with all but the last one of the imaginary α_i $(i = 2n+2, ...4n)$. That matrix is also easy to discern:

$$X = \prod_{i=2n+2}^{4n} \alpha_i. \tag{12}$$

The same matrix X that satisfies the first $4n$ conditions cannot satisfy the last condition, however, since being a product of an odd number of α_i with $i \neq 4n+1$, instead of commuting, it *anticommutes* with the last matrix:

$$\{X, \alpha_{4n+1}\} = 0. \tag{13}$$

Being unique in satisfying the first $4n$ conditions, we see that the sought operator U does not exist in $d = 4n+1$.

In odd dimensions $d = 4n+3$, on the other hand, there is no difficulty in finding the unitary part of T_{sp}. Choosing α_i real for $i = 1, ...2n+2$ and imaginary for $i = 2n+3, ...4n+3$, the operator U is unique and it equals

$$U = \prod_{i=2n+3}^{4n+3} \alpha_i. \tag{14}$$

It is easy to confirm that U now satisfies all of the $4n+3$ desired conditions, and that it anticommutes (commutes) with all real (imaginary) α-matrices.

Finally, the last equation implies that, when it exists, $T_{sp}^2 = (-1)^{n+1}$. Comparing with Eq. (10) we see that the Majorana mass term for a single Weyl flavor survives only in dimensions $d = 3 + 8n$, with $n = 0, 1, 2, ...$

Flavors and their mixing – The mass term for $N > 1$ flavors of Weyl fermions with equal chirality now generalizes into

$$L_M = \frac{1}{2}(\Psi^\dagger, \tilde{\Psi}^\dagger)(\sigma_1 \otimes (mO + m^* O^\dagger) \otimes 1) + i\sigma_2 \otimes (mO - m^* O^\dagger) \otimes 1)(\Psi^\dagger, \tilde{\Psi}^\dagger)^\dagger, \tag{15}$$

where O is the N-dimensional mixing matrix which acts in the flavor space, and Ψ stands for N different Weyl fields. Finiteness of the Majorana mass term now implies that $-O^T \otimes U^T = O \otimes U$, or equivalently

$$O^T = -(T^2)O. \tag{16}$$

The mixing matrix O is therefore symmetric in $d = 3$ (modulo eight), as well known. However, it is antisymmetric in the second set of dimensions which allow the time-reversal operator T, namely $d = 7$ (modulo eight). In the latter case the Majorana mass spectrum becomes severely restricted, as we now show.

Squaring the quadratic form in the Lagrangian now yields the spectrum,

$$\omega_i = \pm\sqrt{k^2 + |m|^2 o_i}, \tag{17}$$

where o_i $i = 1, 2, ...N$ are the eigenvalues of the positive matrix $OO^\dagger$. Obviously,

$$\prod_{i=1}^{N} o_i = \det(OO^\dagger) = |\det O|^2, \tag{18}$$

where we used the fact that $\det O = \det O^T$ in the last equality. On the other hand, Eq. (16) then implies that it is also true that

$$\prod_{i=1}^{N} o_i = [-(T^2)]^N \prod_{i=1}^{N} o_i, \tag{19}$$

and at least one eigenvalue o_i must vanish whenever $T^2 = 1$, as in $d = 7$ (modulo eight), and the number of Weyl flavors N is odd.

The above conclusion is a consequence of the useful decomposition[15] of an antisymmetric matrix: there exists a transformation $O = WQW^T$ with the matrix W being unitary, so that the matrix Q is block-diagonal, with each block as being either zero, or as the two-dimensional matrix $q_i \sigma_2$, with complex q_i. The eigenvalues of the matrix $OO^\dagger$ are then $o_i = |q_i|^2$, each doubly degenerate, or $o_i = 0$. If the number of flavors N is odd, an odd number of Weyl fermions remains massless, while the rest are pairwise degenerate. If the mixing matrix O is symmetric, on the other hand, the eigenvalues o_i are unrestricted, and their degeneracies are only accidental.

Three flavors – Since in nature there exist three types of neutrinos, let us examine the case of $N = 3$ more closely. A general three-dimensional mixing matrix can be written as

$$O = a + \sum_{i=1}^{3} b_i J_i + \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} T_{ij}, \tag{20}$$

where J_i $i = 1, 2, 3$ are spin-one angular momentum operators, and $T_{ij} = (1/2)\{J_i, J_j\} - (2/3)\delta_{ij}$ are the components of the antisymmetric tensor.[11] In the adjoint representation, $[J_i]_{jk} = -i\epsilon_{ijk}$, and all three angular momentum operators are antisymmetric matrices, whereas the components of the tensor operator are all symmetric matrices. In $d = 7$ (modulo eight) then $a = c_{ij} \equiv 0$, to insure the antisymmetry of the mixing matrix. In this case

$$OO^\dagger = \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} b_i b_j^* J_i J_j \tag{21}$$

and the eigenvalues are found easily to be $\lambda_{1,2} = \sum_i |b_i|^2$, and $\lambda_3 = 0$. In contrast, in $d = 3$ (modulo eight) the mixing matrix O is symmetric, and it is then $b_i \equiv 0$ in Eq. (20). The remaining six linearly independent terms in Eq. (20) allow then an unconstrained mass spectrum.

Conclusion – We have shown that an odd number of (Majorana) massive Weyl fermions can be accommodated only in three, modulo eight, dimensions. All other dimensions are forbidden, but for different reasons: a) first, and as known already, in even dimensions the Weyl Hamiltonian is reducible, b) in five (modulo four) dimensions the Weyl Hamiltonian breaks the time reversal symmetry, so that the pairing (Majorana mass) term is impossible, and finally c) in seven (modulo eight) dimensions the TR operator for the Weyl Hamiltonian exists, but it has a positive square, which implies an exact zero mode of the (antisymmetric) mixing matrix, and double degeneracy of the rest of the spectrum.

Assuming that the nature avoids unnecessary masslessness,[16] but for some, at present, not well understood reason favors having Weyl fermions in odd number of copies, implies that the space must be three (modulo eight) dimensional. The ambiguity of eight is inherent to our Clifford-algebraic argument, and it is a mathematical consequence of the Bott periodicity.[4] It thus seems likely that some arguments beyond the mere consistency requirements would be required to remove it. For example, if one subscribes to the superstring or the M-theory, the number of spatial dimension before compactification is nine and ten, respectively. Since the next allowed dimension of space in the present calculation is *eleven*, these theories would have no choice but to be compactified down to precisely three dimensions in order to allow three Majorana massive Weyl fermions. Taken more conservatively, our result provides a reason to hope that, given that we do live in three dimensions, the nature would not miss the rare opportunity to provide the observed three neutrinos with the Majorana type of mass.

This work was supported by the NSERC of Canada. The author is grateful to D. Brody, J. Cayssol, K. Imura, R. Jackiw, C. Mudry, F. Noguira, G. Semenoff, and G. Volovik for useful discussions and correspondences.

---

[1] We will always refer here to the dimensions of space alone, and assume there is a single dimension of time.

[2] For possible string-cosmological explanations, see A. Karch and L. Randall, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 161601 (2005), and references therein. See also, N. Mankoč Borštnik and H. B. Nielsen, Phys. Lett. B **468**, 314 (2000).

[3] J. R. Schrieffer, *Theory of Superconductivity* (Westview press, 1971).

[4] M. Atiyah, R. Bott, and A. Shapiro, Topology **3**, (Suppl. 1), 3 (1964).

[5] C. Giunti and C.W. Kim, *Fundamentals of Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics*, (Oxford Univ. Press, 2007).

[6] F. T. Avignone III, S. R. Eliot, and J. Engel, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 481 (2005).

[7] One such popular scenario is the leptogenesis. For a review, see, P. Di Bari, Contemp. Phys. **53**, 315 (2012).

[8] This is not a necessary condition, however, and in $d = 3$ α_i can also close $SU(2)$ algebra for spin s. For any $s > 1/2$, however, the spectrum will then exhibit more than one characteristic velocity, and the Lorentz invariance will be absent, unlike when α_i close the Clifford algebra.

[9] $C(p, q)$ is defined as a set of $p+q$ mutually anticommuting generators, p (q) of which square to $+1$ (-1).

[10] H. Georgi, *Lie Algebras in Particle Physics*, 2nd edition, (Westview press, 1999).

[11] In coordinate representation, for example, $T_{orb} = K$. See, K. Gottfried and T-M. Yan, *Quantum Mechanics: Fundamentals*, 2nd ed., (Springer, 2004).

[12] It may be more common, but equivalent to our point of view, to understand the operator $\sigma_2 K$ as charge conjugation, under which the chirality of the Weyl Hamiltonian becomes reversed. In $d = 3$, L_0 then manifestly violates the charge conjugation while respecting the time reversal

symmetry.

[13] In $d = 5$ there exists the matrix $R = i\alpha_4\alpha_5$, so that all α_i are *even* under the antilinear operator RK. The generators of $Spin(5)$, namely $(i/4)[\alpha_i, \alpha_j]$, are then odd under such RK, and $R^T = -R$, and the $Spin(5)$ is "pseudoreal".[10] RK may also be understood as the charge conjugation operator, which preserves the chirality. In $d = 5$ the situation is thus reversed relative to $d = 3$: L_0 now respects the charge conjugation, while it violates the time reversal symmetry. The same is true in all $d = 3 + 2n$ with odd n. CPT symmetry is this way respected by L_0 in *all* odd (spatial) dimensions.

[14] This is because the real representation of the related Clifford algebra $C(2n + 1, 2n)$ is 2^{2n}-dimensional. Upon multiplication of the latter $2n$ matrices by the imaginary unit we obtain the desired complex representation of $C(4n + 1, 0)$. See, S. Okubo, J. Math. Phys. **32**, 1657 (1991), or the summarizing Table 1. in, I. F. Herbut, Phys. Rev. B **85**, 085304 (2012).

[15] D. C. Youla, Canad. J. Math. **13**, 694 (1961).

[16] A reason possibly being the simple energetics: having a finite mass lowers the energy of the vacuum.